	T 4	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/562,425	FERRATO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sanh D. Phu	2618
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	is (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate communication is selection in second communication in s	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1.  This communication is responsive to the Amendment filed	l on 4/2/2007.	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 21-52.		
<ul> <li>3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ul>		or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application	on No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received	d in this national stage application from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  4.   A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm	MENT of this application.  nitted. Note the attached EXA	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF
INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which giv		r declaration is deficient.
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu		/ DTO 040\ -#b-d
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper	<del>-</del>	v (PTO-948) attached
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date	_	in the Office action of
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in		
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>		
•		
Attachment(s)		
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		formal Patent Application
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413), Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),     Paper No./Mail Date		Amendment/Comment
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛭 Examiner's	Statement of Reasons for Allowance
	9. 🗌 Other	-

Application/Control Number: 10/562,425 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 4/2/07.

Accordingly, claims 21-52 are currently pending; and claims 1-20 are canceled.

## **REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

- 2. Claims 21-52 are allowed.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- -Regarding to independent claims 21 and 40, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a method comprising procedures of serving communication traffic by at least one reconfigurable antenna having a radiation diagram exhibiting a plurality of selectively adjustable gain values for a set of directions, each direction in said set defining a propagation path between the antenna and a portion of a coverage area; and selectively and independently allotting to each direction in said set a respective gain value in the radiation diagram of said reconfigurable antenna as a function of at least one of traffic value and of said attenuation value determined for said direction.
- -Regarding to independent claim 34, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a network architecture for a communication network comprising: at

least one reconfigurable antenna adapted to serve communication traffic, wherein said at least one reconfigurable antenna has a radiation diagram exhibiting a plurality of selectively adjustable gain values for a set of directions, and wherein each direction in said set defines a propagation path between the antenna and a portion of a coverage area, and has associated at least one value of communication traffic and at least one attenuation value over said propagation path, and a respective gain value for said radiation diagram which is a function of at least one of said traffic value and of said attenuation value.

-Regarding to independent claims 41 and 52, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a method comprising procedures of serving communication traffic by at least one reconfigurable antenna having a radiation diagram exhibiting a plurality of selectively adjustable gain values for a set of directions, each direction in said set defining a propagation path between the antenna and a portion of a coverage area, each portion including a plurality of pixels, wherein each pixel has an associated value of communication traffic and a propagation path from said antenna with an associated attenuation value; determining, for each direction in said set, a reference attenuation value over

the propagation path defined by the direction, said reference attenuation value depending on a benefit/cost ratio for the pixels included in said portion, said benefit/cost ratio being a ratio between said communication traffic value and said attenuation value in each said pixel; determining a maximum attenuation value of said reference attenuation values associated with each direction; determining a maximum gain value of said radiation diagram of said at least one reconfigurable antenna in said coverage area; associating said maximum gain value with the direction having said maximum attenuation value; and determining for the other directions a respective gain value in the radiation diagram of said reconfigurable antenna based on the maximum gain value, the maximum attenuation value and the reference attenuation values.

Page 4

-Regarding to independent claim 46, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a method comprising procedures of determining a reference amount of traffic served by a plurality of antennas in a network; setting at least one difference threshold with respect to said reference amount of traffic; identifying among said plurality of antennas a subset of antennas, wherein the respective amounts of traffic served by the antennas in said subset reach said

difference threshold; configuring the antennas in said subset as reconfigurable antennas, each having a radiation diagram exhibiting a plurality of selectively adjustable gain values for a set of directions, each direction in said set defining a propagation path between the antenna and a portion of said coverage area; and applying to the reconfigurable antennas in said subset the following steps to reconfigure said network: determining, for each direction in said set, a reference attenuation value over the propagation path defined by the direction; and determining a maximum attenuation value of said reference attenuation values associated with each direction.

-Regarding to independent claim 50, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a network architecture comprising: at least one reconfigurable antenna adapted to serve communication traffic wherein said at least one reconfigurable antenna has a radiation diagram exhibiting a plurality of selectively adjustable gain values for a set of directions, and wherein each direction in said set defines a propagation path between the antenna and a portion of a coverage area, said portion including a plurality of pixels, wherein each pixel has an associated value of communication traffic and a propagation

path from said antenna with an associated attenuation value, and has associated a reference attenuation value over the propagation path defined by the direction, said respective gain value being determined based on a maximum attenuation value of said reference attenuation values associated with each direction in said coverage area and the maximum gain value of said radiation diagram of said at least one reconfigurable antenna associated with the direction having said maximum attenuation value.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanh D. Phu whose telephone number is (571)272–7857. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 7:00–17:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,425

Art Unit: 2618

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sanh D. Phu Patent Examiner Division 2618

SANH D. PHU PATENT EXAMINER 5/16/07 Stylin